On the Inclusion of the Interfacial Area Between Fluids in Models of Multiphase Porous Media Flows

William G. Gray Environmental Sciences and Engineering University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill



Collaborators

- Cass T. Miller, University of North Carolina
- Laura J. Pyrak-Nolte, Purdue University
- Bernhard A. Schrefler, University of Padua
- Wendy E. Soll, Los Alamos National Laboratory
- Andrew F. B. Tompson, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Dorthe Wildenschild, Oregon State University

Outline of Presentation

- Review of Darcy's Law
- Pressure
- Elements of TCAT Approach
- Considerations for Unsaturated Flow
- Formulation of Capillary Pressure
- Stress Tensor for an Elastic Solid
- Conclusion

Review of Darcy's Law

Darcy's Experiment, 1855



- 2.5m column; 35cm diameter
- 35 experiments with uniform sand
- water inflow from top
- mercury manometers
- length between 0.58 and 1.71m



 $q = K \left| \frac{\Delta h}{L} \right|$

Darcy's Law





Algebraic Form

$$q = \frac{Q}{A} = -K\left(\frac{h_{\rm out} - h_{\rm in}}{L}\right)$$

•
$$h = \frac{p}{\rho g} + (z_{\text{ref}} - z)$$

$$q = -\frac{K}{\rho g} \left[\frac{p_{\text{out}} - p_{\text{in}}}{L} - \rho g \frac{z_{\text{out}} - z_{\text{in}}}{L} \right]$$

Differential Form

$$q = -\frac{K}{\rho g} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}l} - \rho g \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}l} \right]$$

Observations on Darcy's Experiment



$$Q = KA\frac{h_2 - h_1}{L}$$

- Equation does not depend on sand uniformity
- A is a property of the column, not the porous material
- *L* is a measure of the distance between sampling points
- h_2 and h_1 are indicators of pressure in the reservoirs exterior to the medium
- Q does not provide an indication of the actual fluid velocity within the medium

Alternative Darcy Experiment



- shell houses some arbitrary packed, saturated-flow system
- head is measured using manometers in reservoirs external to the shell region
- Darcian correlation is
- $Q = K_{\text{eff}} \left(h_2 h_1 \right)$
- or divide by some selected crosssectional area to obtain

$$q = K_{\text{eff}}^* \left(h_2 - h_1 \right)$$

no suggestion of a differential form

Nevertheless..."Darcy's Law"

$$\mathbf{q} = -\frac{K}{\rho_{\text{avg}}g} (\nabla p_{\text{avg}} - \rho_{\text{avg}}\mathbf{g})$$

- differential vector equation in terms of "macroscale" variables
- $\mathbf{q} = \epsilon \mathbf{v}$ is the Darcy velocity
- - p_{avg} is the macroscale pressure

Observations on Darcy Experiments

- Darcian experiments provide infomation at the ends of the domain but do not identify the scaling or variation within the domain.
- The suggestion of a differential form of Darcy's Law written in terms of variables within the porous medium is an unjustifiable artifact of the serendipitous selection of a straight tube with constant cross-sectional area and of the placement of manometers for the classic experiments.
- The pervasive use of differential forms of Darcy's Law to describe singlephase, unsaturated, and multiphase flow cannot be justified on the basis of the classic experiments.
- Because variables that appear in the differential forms of Darcy's Law are undefined and are not related to measured quantities in a rigorous fashion, information transfer among scales is precluded.

Pressure

Average Pressure



Porous Medium

How should the macroscale pressure be defined?

$$\mathbf{q} = -\frac{K}{\rho_{\text{avg}}g} (\nabla p_{\text{avg}} - \rho_{\text{avg}} \mathbf{g})$$



Berea sandstone at resolution of 9μ

- What should be measured?
- What scale?
- Pressure needed for Darcy's Law?
- Pressure used in Darcy's Law?
- Capillary pressure?
- Thermodynamic description?
- Definitions of other variables?

Elements of Thermodynamically Constrained Averaging Theory

TCAT Approach to Modeling at Macroscale

- Formulate conservation equations and thermodynamic relations at microscale
- Develop microscale equilibrium conditions using a variational analysis
- Employ theorems that allow for a rigorous change in scale of universal relations
- Constrain entropy inequality with conservation equations and averaged thermodynamic relations
- Close equations by requiring that irreversible processes occurring within the system produce entropy and through application of additional constraints (e.g., geometric evolution)

Distinguishing Attributes of TCAT Approach

- Conservation equations are employed for phases, interfaces, common lines, and common points
- Rigorous change of scale ensures that relations between microscale and macroscale variables is preserved
- Averaging of thermodynamic expressions ensures that macroscale pressure, temperature, and chemical potentials are defined in terms of microscale counterparts.
- Averaging of microscale equilibrium conditions to macroscale ensures proper exploitation of the constrained entropy inequality
- Geometric evolution relations are employed for saturations, interfacial areas, and common line lengths

Microscale Conservation Equations and Thermodynamic Relations

- Species Conservation:
- Momentum Conservation:
- Energy Conservation:
- Entropy Equation:

- $\sum_{i} S_{i\alpha} = \Lambda_{\alpha} \ge 0$ ce: $E_{i\alpha} = E_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{X}_{i\alpha})$
- Thermodynamic Dependence:

$$\mathcal{M}_{i\alpha} = 0$$

 $\mathcal{P}_{i\alpha}=0$

 $\mathcal{E}_{i\alpha} = 0$

Approaches to Thermodynamics

Formulation	Functional Form
Equilibrium Thermo	$\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{S}, V, M), \text{ for } \Omega$
Classical Irreversible Thermo	$E(\mathbf{x},t) = E[\eta(\mathbf{x},t),\rho(\mathbf{x},t)]$
Rational Thermo	$E(\mathbf{x},t) = E[\eta(\mathbf{x},t), \rho(\mathbf{x},t), \ldots]$
Extended Irreversible Thermo	$E(\mathbf{x},t) = E[\eta(\mathbf{x},t),\rho(\mathbf{x},t),\mathbf{J}]$
Rational Extended Thermo	$E(\mathbf{x},t) = E[\eta(\mathbf{x},t), \rho(\mathbf{x},t), \mathbf{J}, \ldots]$
Theory of Internal Variables	$E(\mathbf{x}, t) = E[\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \rho(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{I}]$

Employ Theorems for Scale Change

- Entities now occupy portion of same space
- Constrain Entropy Equation with additional relations
- Lagrange multipliers are selected to reflect system

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \mathcal{S}^{i\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{i\alpha} \mathcal{E}^{i\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \lambda_{\mathcal{P}}^{i\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{P}^{i\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \lambda_{\mathcal{M}}^{i\alpha} \mathcal{M}^{i\alpha} \\ + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \lambda_{t}^{i\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial E^{i\overline{\alpha}}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial E^{i\overline{\alpha}}}{\partial \mathbf{X}^{i\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^{i\alpha}}{\partial t} \right) \\ + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}^{i\alpha} \cdot \left(\nabla E^{i\overline{\alpha}} - \nabla \mathbf{X}^{i\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial E^{i\overline{\alpha}}}{\partial \mathbf{X}^{i\alpha}} \right) = \Lambda \geqslant 0 \end{split}$$

Close Equations

- Require that irreversible processes produce entropy
- Examine "near" equilibrium situation
- Linearized constitutive theory
- Make use of approximations relating to geometric changes

Porous Media Flow Equations:

• $\mathbf{q} = -\frac{K}{\rho_{\text{avg}}g}(\nabla p_{\text{avg}} - \rho_{\text{avg}}\mathbf{g})$



• $\mathbf{q} = -\frac{1}{\rho^w g}$

$$\nabla p^w - \rho^w \mathbf{g} + \frac{K}{\rho^w g} \left[\frac{1}{V^w} \int_{S^{ws}} (p^w - p) \mathbf{n}^w \mathrm{d}S \right]$$

with
$$p^w = \frac{1}{V^w} \int\limits_{V^w} p dV$$

and
$$\rho^w = \frac{1}{V^w} \int\limits_{V^w} \rho \mathrm{d}V$$

Summary

- Darcy's Law applies for the measurements and systems that led to this correlation.
- Extension of Darcy's Law to differential form and for general systems ignores both processes and scale.
- Despite the widespread, essentially universal, application of the differential form of Darcy's Law, the quantities appearing in the equation are poorly defined.
- Definitions of other quantities at the macroscale are also ill-defined (e.g., temperature).

Unsaturated Flow



Standard Form for Air-Water Flow:

Water Phase

•
$$\mathbf{q}^w = -\frac{Kk^r(s^w)}{\rho_{\mathrm{avg}}^w g} \left(\nabla p_{\mathrm{avg}}^w - \rho_{\mathrm{avg}}^w \mathbf{g}\right)$$

Air Phase Pressure

• $p_{\text{avg}}^a = \text{constant}$

Capillary Pressure

•
$$p_{\text{avg}}^a - p_{\text{avg}}^w = p_{\text{avg}}^c(s^w)$$

Capillary Pressure vs. Saturation



Microscale Surface Momentum Equation

 $\frac{\mathrm{D}(\rho\mathbf{v})}{\mathrm{D}t} \nabla^{s} \rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{d}^{s} + \mathbf{l} \mathbf{t}_{w} + \rho \mathbf{v}_{w}^{w} + \mathbf{n} \mathbf{t}_{n}^{w} (\nabla \mathbf{f} \mathbf{i}^{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{w}) - \rho \mathbf{g}$ $\mathbf{Surfac} \left[\rho_{w} \mathbf{y}_{w} (\mathbf{v}_{w} - \mathbf{v}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}^{w} - \left[\rho_{n} \mathbf{v}_{n} (\mathbf{v}_{n} - \mathbf{v}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}^{n}$ $-\nabla^{s} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{s} + \mathbf{t}_{w}^{s} = \mathbf{n}_{wn}^{w} \mathbf{f}^{s} \mathbf{t}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{n} = 0$

Phase Stress Tensors

$$\mathbf{t}_{\alpha} = -p_{\alpha}\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}$$

Tangential Stress Balance

 $-\nabla^{s} \gamma_{wn} + \mathbf{I}^{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{w} + \mathbf{I}^{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{n} = 0$ Normal Stress Balance

$$\gamma_{wn}\nabla^s \cdot \mathbf{n}^w - p_w + p_n + \mathbf{n}^w \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_w \cdot \mathbf{n}^w + \mathbf{n}^n \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_n \cdot \mathbf{n}^n = 0$$

Microscale Example: Expanding Bubble

Consider

- $\mu_w \gg \mu_n$
- $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_w = 0$

•
$$p_c = -\gamma_{wn} \nabla^s \cdot \mathbf{n}^w$$

• $\mathbf{n}^w \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_w \cdot \mathbf{n}^w = -\frac{2}{r} \mu_w v$



Normal Stress Balance

•
$$\gamma_{wn} \nabla^s \cdot \mathbf{n}^w - p_w + p_n = -\mathbf{n}^w \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_w \cdot \mathbf{n}^w - \mathbf{n}^n \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_n \cdot \mathbf{n}^n$$

• $-p_c - p_w + p_n = \frac{2}{r} \mu_w v_r$

'r

Observations from Microscale

- Interfacial curvature is defined by $J^w = \nabla^s \cdot \mathbf{n}^w$
- Capillary pressure is a unique function of curvature: $p_c = -\gamma_{wn} J^w$
- Capillary dynamics involves quantities at the interface
- For the case of the bubble with constant properties at the interface, we can integrate over the interface to obtain:

$$-p_c - p_w + p_n = \frac{\mu_w}{2\pi r^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

TCAT Form for Air-Water Flow:

Water Phase

•
$$\mathbf{q}^w = -\frac{Kk^r(s^w, a^{wa})}{\rho^w g} (\nabla p^w - \rho^w \mathbf{g}) + \frac{Kk^r(s^w, a^{wa})}{\rho^w g} \left[\frac{1}{V^w} \int\limits_{S^w} (p^w - p) \mathbf{n}^w \mathrm{d}S \right]$$

Capillary Pressure

•
$$A\frac{\partial s^w}{\partial t} = p^{\overline{w}} - p^{\overline{a}} + p^{\overline{c}}(s^w, a^{wa}, ...)$$

Interface Evolution

•
$$\gamma^{wa} \frac{\partial a^{wa}}{\partial t} + p^{\overline{\overline{c}}} \epsilon \frac{\partial s^w}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (a^{wa} \mathbf{G}^{wa} \cdot \mathbf{q}^w)$$

Formulation of Capillary Pressure

Microscale Experiments: 7mm Column

 $s^w = 0.24$ $s^w = 0.75$ $s^w = 0.34$ $s^w = 0.10$



Imbibition

$s^{w} = 0.13$

 $s^w = 0.59$





 $s^{w} = 0.15$

 $s^w = 0.61$

Drainage

Capillary Pressure - Saturation



Cheng, Pyrak-Nolte, Giordano (2002)

Capillary Pressure - Area - Saturation



Cheng, Pyrak-Nolte, Giordano (2002)

Derived Form for Solid Stress

Solid Phase Stress Tensor

•
$$\mathbf{t}^s = \boldsymbol{\tau}^s - p^s \mathbf{I}$$

In Terms of Biot Coefficient

•
$$\mathbf{t}^s = \boldsymbol{\tau}^s + \alpha \left(\mathbf{n}_s \cdot \mathbf{t}_s \cdot \mathbf{n}_s \right)^{\text{surf}} \mathbf{I}$$

Normal Surface Stress

• $(\mathbf{n}_s \cdot \mathbf{t}_s \cdot \mathbf{n}_s)^{\text{surf}} = -x_s^{ws} \left(p_w^{ws} + \gamma^{ws} J_s^{ws} \right) - \left(1 - x_s^{ws} \right) \left(p_n^{ns} + \gamma^{ns} J_s^{ns} \right)$

Conclusion

For Study of Multiphase Flow

- Application of "laws" beyond the conditions for which they were developed must be approached with caution.
- Theory requires ability to transfer experimental information between scales.
- Identification of symbols in a theory as "pressure" or "temperature" does not necessarily indicate consistency.
- "Hysteresis" at the larger scale is due, probably in large part, to loss of information.
- Careful manipulation of equations can provide scientific studies that faithfully reproduce reality.