Electrophoretically deposited high molecular weight chitosan/bioactive glass composite coatings on WE43 magnesium alloy
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ABSTRACT

Mg-based materials are good candidates for biodegradable bone regeneration implants due to their favorable mechanical properties and an excellent compatibility with human bone. However, too high corrosion/degradation rate in body fluids still limits their applicability. Coatings based on chitosan (CS) and bioactive glass (BG) particles fabricated by electrophoretic deposition (EPD) on Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) pre-treated magnesium alloys have promising potential to suppress the substrate corrosion and additionally to incorporate bioactivity. However, the impact of processing parameters or type of coating components on the long-term substrate corrosion behavior and cell response have not been investigated previously. In this study, two types of composite coatings based on a high molecular weight CS (Mw 340–360 kDa, DDA ≥ 95%) and embedded particles: solid BG (2 μm) and a mixture of BG and mesoporous bioactive glass nanoparticles (MBGN, 100–300 nm with mesopores 2.3–5.6 nm) were fabricated by EPD on DMEM pre-treated WE43 magnesium alloy. It was found that partial replacement of BG particles with MBGN (ratio 3:1) in the composite coating increases the water contact angle, surface roughness and induces a positive cell response. Although the acidic CS-based solutions and applied EPD conditions may decrease the stability of the temporary barrier formed during the DMEM pre-treatment on WE43 substrate therewith slightly increasing its corrosion sensitivity, the composite coating with a mixture of different sizes of particles (BG, MBGN) is a promising candidate for bone regeneration applications.

1. Introduction

Mg-based materials are suitable candidates for temporary, biodegradable medical applications such as implants and tissue scaffolds [1,2] because of their biocompatibility [1,3,4], biodegradability [5–7] and excellent mechanical properties similar to those of cortical bone [4]. Mg is an essential ion in the human body with expected low toxicity, due to its efficient excretion through the urinary system [8]. However, as Mg is prone to strong corrosion, an excessive corrosion of Mg-based materials may negatively influence the healing process or even cause failure of the implant [1,2,9]. In the presence of moisture Mg undergoes corrosion (Eq. (1)):

\[
\text{Mg} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2 \uparrow
\]  

Besides the release of Mg^{2+}, the corrosion process is accompanied by H2 generation, which in high amount results in gas pocket formation [7] and by OH^- release, which may reduce cytocompatibility through local alkalization [4]. Furthermore, a high pH leads to formation of Mg(OH)2 on the surface that may act as a temporary barrier for further corrosion in certain conditions. Fluctuations of pH strongly influence the corrosion sensitivity of Mg-based materials, as they have impact on the stability of the temporary barrier [10–12]. Because corrosion of Mg in the human body is more complex than in H2O due to the presence of organic and inorganic compounds, living cells, dissolved O2 or CO2, which provide a strong buffering effect and may affect the implant response [12,13], it is important to maintain a similar pH level in in vitro experiments.

Available strategies for decreasing the corrosion rate of Mg include: a) purification and alloying [14], b) tailoring of the microstructure [6,12], and c) surface modification, specially the application of protective and/or bioactive coatings [15–17]. The ideal strategy should lead to the decrease of the corrosion process instead of its complete stoppage.
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Application of a biodegradable polymer-based coatings \cite{18,19} is a promising strategy for controlling the corrosion of Mg-based implants by corrosion rate to the rate of the surrounding tissue healing, without changing the bulk mechanical properties. Moreover, the modification of surface properties can increase the implant biocompatibility, e.g. by adding extra functionalities, such as the release of stimulating substances from the applied coating \cite{20} or by inducing a surface topography suitable for cell adhesion \cite{21}. Therefore, the appropriate selection of biodegradable polymers and additives is crucial.

This paper focuses on the development of chitosan (CS)/bioactive glass (BG) composite coatings via electrophoretic deposition (EPD) on WE43 magnesium alloy with the far-reaching goal of obtaining a suitable material for biodegradable implants.

EPD is a versatile and cost-effective coating technique \cite{22} for deposition or co-deposition of different materials \cite{23}, including biological entities \cite{24}, variety of biopolymers or bioactive and functional composite coatings \cite{23,25,26} on corrosion resistant metals such as titanium \cite{27} and stainless steel \cite{28}, but also on corrosion sensitive substrates such as Mg-based materials \cite{29,29}. A typical component of functional coatings used in bone regeneration is BG, due to its ability to form a hydroxyapatite (HA) layer on its surface \cite{30} and extra biological activity provided by the release of biologically active ions \cite{31}. Various chemical compositions, sizes or shapes (spherical, non-spherical) of BG particles, including mesoporous nanoparticles \cite{32}, affect their physicochemical and biological properties for specific biomedical applications. Nowadays, nano size BG particles are increasingly used due to their higher specific surface area in comparison with micro size BG particles, facilitating HA formation during the exposure to body fluids. A larger surface to volume ratio may facilitate nano sized BG particles integration with polymer matrix. Incorporation of such nanoparticles into a thin coating induces a nanostructured topography on the surface, which may result in higher protein adsorption ability. Nano size mesoporous BG particles (MBGN) additionally provide an increase in porosity, which is useful for the delivery of therapeutic molecules for hard and soft tissue repair \cite{33}. The use of both of micro and nano sized BG particles instead of only single size BG particles may bring additional benefits to the functional coating resulting from specific particle properties (e.g. increase in surface topography, different rate of HA nucleation, additional sites for HA nucleation located between micron size BG particles). BG and MBGN particles simultaneously incorporated in composite coatings by EPD have not been extensively considered before.

From a technical point of view, for the fabrication of polymer based composite coating with embedded particles via EPD on a Mg-based substrate, it is preferable to choose a polymer, which can form a liquid cationic polyelectrolyte, so that bonding between polymer molecules and negatively charged particles is possible facilitating also the assembly of uniform coating. CS, due to its unique physicochemical properties, fulfills this condition. Additionally, CS is suitable for cathodic EPD and this process can be successfully applied for Mg-based materials, because the anode, not the cathode, undergoes intensive dissolution in the EPD cell.

In CS + BG type of composite coatings, CS plays the role of the matrix for BG particles to ensure their attachment to the metallic substrate \cite{29}. Indeed, in the composite, CS works as a "glue" for the embedded particles, omitting the sintering step which is essential for pure ceramic coatings. As the working temperature for Mg-based materials is low (<400 °C), the use of high temperature processes has limitations, thus the choice of room temperature process is justified. Additionally, the CS matrix effectively controls the dissolution rate of BG particles in the composite coating and the corrosion rate of corrosion sensitive substrates such as Mg-based materials, because of the slower CS degradation profile. CS is a valuable polymer for biomedical applications because of its biodegradability, biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity \cite{20,34-36}. However, swelling, solubility, biodegradation and film forming of CS depend on its structure, including its molecular weight (M_w) and degree of deacetylation (DDA) \cite{37}. CS is a copolymer composed of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and D-glucosamine units obtained by partial deacetylation of chitin. The ratio between the two units corresponds to % of DDA and determines the number of chemical reactive amino groups along the chain. A high DDA can be associated with more regular packing of the polymer chains in the structure which directly influences the properties of the coating. CS dissolution in acidic solution (pH < 6) leads to protonation of its amino groups and formation of a cationic polyelectrolyte suitable for EPD (Eq. (2)) \cite{38}:

\[
CS - NH_2 + H_3O^+ \rightarrow CS - NH_3^+ + H_2O
\]  

(2)

\[
2H_3O^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2↑ + 2OH^-
\]  

(3)

\[
CS - NH_3^+ + OH^- \rightarrow CS - NH_2 + H_2O
\]  

(4)

During the EPD process, the applied electric field between the electrodes provides electrophoretic movement of positively charged CS molecules towards the cathode, while during the co-deposition of both CS molecules and BG particles CS serves as a surface charging agent for the BG particles. Hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl and amine groups of CS and the hydroxyl groups of BG causes adsorption of CS molecules on the BG particles and thus movement of both components towards the cathode. The higher pH at the cathode, caused by H_2O decomposition (Eq. (3)), triggers a charge loss of CS molecules (pH > 6.5), which leads to the formation of an insoluble CS-based deposit on the cathode surface (Eq. (4)). However, immersion of Mg-based substrates in an acidic EPD suspension (corrosive environment) and any pH fluctuations close to its surface, may negatively affect its corrosion rate. The H_2 generated by the EPD process (Eq. (3)) and the corrosion reaction (Eq. (1)) can be entrapped in the fabricated deposit and negatively influence its quality. Hence, for the deposition of a uniform coating on Mg-based substrates via EPD, the metal corrosion rate needs to be suppressed, for instance by a surface DMEM pre-treatment \cite{29,39,40}.

Heise et al. \cite{29} fabricated via EPD a medium M_w CS + BG composite coating on DMEM pretreated WE43 magnesium alloy substrates and evaluated their corrosion behavior, indicating an important role of the pretreatment in controlling the degradation of the Mg-based substrates and the deposition of the composite coating via EPD. The authors pointed out that an in-depth investigation of the long-term corrosion protection provided by the CS + BG coatings is needed. Also, the impact of different coating characteristics should be considered (e.g. type of CS, BG content) on the corrosion protection capability and cell biology performance of the coatings. Due to the important role of a pre-treated surface, limitation of the substrate corrosion during the coating deposition via EPD needs to be considered by modification of the EPD parameters (e.g. deposition time and/or applied potential). Additionally, changes in the coating components (e.g. type of CS or BG particles) may affect its homogeneity.

Most of the studies related to CS + BG coatings deposited via EPD have been carried out on corrosion resistant substrates \cite{41-44}, while the effect of the M_w or DDA of the CS was not always investigated \cite{27,41-43,45,46}. For corrosion sensitive substrates such as Mg-based materials, only medium M_w, CS (190–310 kDa) with ~85% DDA \cite{28,29,40,47} has been used, while no research has been conducted on the application of CS with high M_w and DDA in EPD coatings. Also, the effects of different sizes and morphologies of BG particles have not been investigated.

Therefore, the aim of this study is (i) to deposit high M_w CS-based bioactive composite coatings containing bioactive particles via EPD on pre-treated WE43 substrate and (ii) to examine the coatings properties and performance, including cell response and substrate corrosion under cell culture conditions. For this purpose, two types of composite coatings were deposited using high M_w, CS (340–360 kDa) with DDA ≥ 95% and different bioactive glass particles: microparticles (non-spherical, dense) and a mixture of microparticles and nanoparticles (spherical, mesoporous).
2. Materials and methods

The CS solution for EPD was prepared by adding 0.5 g/L of CS (DDA ≥ 95%, Mw 340–360 kDa, ChitoClear, Primex) to 20 vol% deionized water, 1 vol% acetic acid (Sigma Aldrich) and 79 vol% of pure ethanol (Emsure, Merck). The glass content in the EPD suspensions was set to 1 g/L based on previous studies on medium M2 CS-based solutions [29]. Two types of bioactive glass particles were used: 1) commercial melt-derived 45S5 bioactive glass particles (BG; Vitryxx®, Schott AG, Germany) with composition in wt%: 45 SiO₂, 24.5 CaO, 24.5 Na₂O, 6 P₂O₅, non-spherical shape [28] with medium diameter of 2 μm (the size analysis of particles provided by the supplier indicated that 10% of the particles were below 0.3 μm, 50% below 2 μm, and 99% below 5 μm); 2) mesoporous bioactive glass nanoparticles (MBGN), obtained by the microemulsion-assisted sol-gel method, with nominal composition in mol%: 70 SiO₂, 30 CaO (chemical composition calculated based on EDX data in mol%: 86.1 ± 0.3 SiO₂, 13.9 ± 0.2 CaO), spherical shape with size range of 100–300 nm (specific surface area 381 m²/g) and mesopores throughout the nanoparticle (pore size distribution 2.3–5.6 nm and pore volume 0.7 cm³/g) [33]. The BG particles or a mixture of BG particles and MBGN in ratio 3:1, which yielded the best coating homogeneity in preliminary trial-error tests, were added to the polymer matrix. In what follows, the deposited composite coatings are abbreviated as CS + BG (CS and 1 g/L BG particles) and CS + MIX (CS and 0.75 g/L BG/0.25 g/L MBGN particles), respectively. Before deposition the suspensions were magnetically stirred for 5 min, followed by 45 min of ultrasonication (Sonorex RK 100, Bandelin electronic GmbH & Co. KG) to obtain an adequate dispersion of the particles in the suspension. Disc-shaped WE43 magnesium alloy (4 wt% Y, 3 wt% Nd, Mg-bal.) samples were inoculated at a density of 50,000 cells/mL in 1 mL of Dulbeccos Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin (P/S; Gibco, Life Technologies™) on the tested samples and into wells without samples (positive control (+)) and then 24-well plates were incubated under cell culture conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂) up to 7 d. The culture medium (DMEM+10%FBS+1%PS) was exchanged every 48 h. Next, the alamarBlue® assay (Invitrogen Corporation) was implemented. The well plates with the samples, positive control (+) and negative control (−) [well without cells], were washed with 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Gibco, Life Technologies™). Then, PBS was replaced by 1 mL of DMEM + 10%FBS + 1%PS containing 10% (v/v) alamarBlue® and the well plates were incubated for 4 h under cell culture conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂). Afterwards, 100 μL portions of the supernatants were poured into a 96-well plate and the absorbance of the supernatants was measured at 570 nm and 600 nm. The alamarBlue® contains an oxidation-reduction colorimetric indicator. Its chemical reduction is used for the detection of cells’ metabolic activity.

2.1. Cell experiments

2.1.1. Cell proliferation

To determine the cell response to reference (pre-treated, pure CS coated) and composite coated (CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples, tests with stromal cell line ST-2, derived from mouse bone marrow were performed. All types of samples were placed separately into 24-well plates and sterilized under ultraviolet (UV) light for 2 h. ST-2 cells were inoculated at a density of 50,000 cells/mL and then 24-well plates were incubated in this medium for additional 3, 6 and 12 d. The culture medium (DMEM+10%FBS+1%PS) was exchanged every 2 d. For this study the cell culture medium RPMI 1640 w/o phenol red+10% FBS + 1%PS was used. After 4 d of incubation the cell culture medium was exchanged into osteogenic differentiation medium (culture medium supplemented by 50 μL/mL of ascorbic acid, 10 mmol of β-glycerophosphate and 10 mM of dexamethasone). The samples were incubated in this medium for additional 3, 6 and 12 d, while it was exchanged every 2 d. For clarity, these time points were marked on the graphs as 7 d, 10 d and 16 d, respectively. At the designed time points, the tested samples and controls were subjected to ALP assay. The samples were transferred into a new 24-well plate and the cells were lysed by lysis buffer for 30 min. Collected cell lysis was centrifuged for 5 min at 2000 rpm and then ALP activity was examined using a procedure provided by the Institute of Biomaterials at University of Erlangen-Nuremberg. Briefly, the cell lysis from each sample (250 μL) was incubated with a buffer solution (100 μL) containing 0.1 M Tris, 2 mM MgCl₂, 9 mM para-Nitrophenylphosphate (p-NPP) and ultrapure water. In the presence of ALP the enzyme p-NPP is transformed into p-NP (para-Nitrophenol + phosphate). After 180 min of incubation at 37 °C, the color of the liquid became yellowish and the reaction was stopped with

performed at room temperature in 0.1 M NaCl (Sigma Aldrich) after 1 h immersion in solution while monitoring open circuit potential (OCP), using a VMP 3 multichannel potentiostat/galvanostat (BioLogic, Science Instruments, France). A three electrodes system consisting of an Ag/AgCl (sat. KCl) electrode as reference electrode, Pt electrode (50 mm²) as counter electrode and a sample (exposed area of 0.264 cm²) as working electrode was used. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed at the OCP with an AC amplitude of 5 mV in the frequency range of 10⁻²–10⁻⁸ Hz. Potentiodynamic measurements were performed at a scanning rate of 0.5 mV/s with potential from −0.3 V in relation to the OCP in anodic direction. Corrosion rate (i_corr) was evaluated from the polarization curves by the Tafel method using a software EC-lab V11.25 (BioLogic, Science Instruments, France).
650 μL of 1 M NaOH solution. Then, absorption was measured at 405 and 690 nm using a UV–Vis spectrometer (Specord 40, Analytik Jena, Germany). The protein concentration in the cell lysis was determined by Bradford protein assay (PanReac AppliChem, Germany) by mixing 25 μL of cell lysis with 975 μL of Bradford reagent and measuring the absorbance at 595 nm after 10 min of incubation. The ALP activity was expressed as nmol of converted p-nitrophenol per min and normalized with respect to the protein concentration present in the cell lysis. The relative ALP activity was expressed as nmol p-nitrophenol per min per mg protein.

2.1.3. Weight loss

Air-dried pre-treated and coated (pure CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples, which remained after cell functionality tests were subjected to chromic acid cleaning to remove residues of cells, coatings and insoluble salt layers formed on the sample surfaces. Every sample was immersed separately in the cleaning solution (mixture of 20 g of CrO₃ and 1 g of NaNO₃ in 100 mL of distilled water) for 3 min at room temperature and rinsed in destilled water. The excess water was gently removed and samples were left to air-dry. Samples weight loss (Wₐₗₙₜ) was obtained from the following formula (Eq. (6)):

![Fig. 1. SEM images of the samples surfaces: (a-b) pre-treated WE43 substrate, (c-d) deposited CS + BG composite coating, (e-f) deposited CS + MIX composite coating, (g-h) BG and MBGN distribution in the CS + MIX coating. Yellow arrows indicate BG particles in (d) and MBGN particles in (g-h), while the yellow circle highlights the magnified area in (h).](image-url)
ZP - zeta potential, $D_{\text{h}}$ - roughness average, $R_{\text{max}}$ - maximum roughness depth, WCA - water contact angle.

Table 1: Characteristics of particles, coatings and the surfaces of the samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ZP [mV]</th>
<th>$D_{\text{h}}$ [mg/cm$^2$]</th>
<th>$R_{\text{n}}$ [μm]</th>
<th>$R_{\text{max}}$ [μm]</th>
<th>WCA [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>62 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS + BG</td>
<td>31 ± 1</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>39 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS + MIX</td>
<td>38 ± 1</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.07</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>45 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $W_{\text{initial}}$ and $W_0$ denote the initial weight (after pre-treatment, before EPD) and the total area of the sample, respectively, and $W_{\text{remaining}}$ is the weight of the sample after chromic acid cleaning. Surface morphology after chromic acid cleaning and Au sputtering was observed by SEM (Phenom proX desktop, ThermoFisher Scientific).

### 3.2. Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA together with a multi-comparison by Tukey test.

### 3.3. Results

#### 3.3.1. Morphology of composite coatings

The surfaces of pre-treated WE43 and composite coated (CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples at different magnifications are presented in Fig. 1. The best quality composite coatings in terms of homogeneity were obtained at 50 V and 60 s as deposition voltage and time, respectively. Both types of composite coatings form continuous cover on the pre-treated CS substrates, while the BG particles are homogeneously embedded in the CS matrix. Partial replacement of micro size BG particles with nano size MBGN particles in the CS + MIX coating resulted in filled spaces between the larger BG particles or the presence of single MBGN particles and their agglomerates (even larger than 2 μm) on top of the BG particles (Fig. 1g–h). However, for both types of composite coatings, polishing marks from the substrate surfaces were still noticeable.

#### 3.3.2. Zeta potential

Table 1 presents the ZP values obtained for the micron size BG particles and the mixture of micrometric BG and nanosized MBGN particles (ratio 3:1) suspended in CS solution. Both ZP values have positive sign, which indicates cathodic deposition via EPD. Moreover, the value of the ZP for the mixture of particles (CS + MIX) is higher than for pure micron size particles (CS + BG).

#### 3.3.3. Deposit weight

For the same processing parameters (50 V, 60 s), the $D_w$ of the deposited coatings (CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) slightly differs from each other (Table 1), manifesting higher values for the composite coatings. Additionally, the $D_w$ is slightly higher for the CS + MIX coating than the CS + BG coating.

#### 3.3.4. Surface roughness

The presence of particles (BG, MBGN) in the composite coatings increases the maximum roughness ($R_{\text{max}}$) of the pre-treated WE43 substrate, while the average surface roughness ($R_{\text{n}}$) slightly differs among samples (Table 1). The CS + Mix coating exhibited a higher $R_{\text{max}}$ than the CS + BG.

#### 3.3.5. WCA

The static water contact angle (WCA) differs among the pre-treated WE43 and the coated (pure CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples (Table 1). As expected, the presence of coatings changes the wettability of the pre-treated WE43 substrate depending on the coating components: towards more hydrophilic for the pure CS coating and more hydrophilic for the composite coatings. However, the CS + BG coating shows a slightly higher wettability than the CS + MIX coating.

### 3.3.6. ATR-FTIR

Fig. 2a presents FTIR spectra of particles (BG, MBGN) in powder form and coatings (pure CS, CS + BG) deposited on stainless steel (AISI 316L) substrate for 600 s (25 V), shown as a reference for the less intense FTIR spectra for the coatings deposited on the pre-treated WE43 substrate (Fig. 2b). The main bands obtained for the BG particles (SiO$_2$-CaO-Na$_2$O-P$_2$O$_5$ system) at ~1000 cm$^{-1}$ and ~915 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to Si–O–Si stretching vibrations (Fig. 2a). The dual nature of these bands is due to the presence of network modifiers such as Ca and Na in the glass structure [48], while for MBGN particles (SiO$_2$-CaO system) this band appears at ~1050 cm$^{-1}$. For both types of particles, the band at ~450 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to Si-O-Si bending vibrations [49]. Additionally, the band at ~1450 cm$^{-1}$ for BG particles can be related to carbonate groups adsorbed from the atmosphere. In the spectrum obtained for the CS coating on AISI 316L substrate, the broad band in the range 3700–3000 cm$^{-1}$ is due to overlapping of several bands, i.e. the stretching vibration of O-H, absorbed water and N–H stretching of amine and amide [42], while the vibration bands of the C–H is at ~2920 cm$^{-1}$ and ~2875 cm$^{-1}$. Additionally, signals at 1375 cm$^{-1}$ (~CH$_2$) and 1420 cm$^{-1}$ (~CH$_3$) are also present [42,50]. The peaks at ~1645, ~1560 and ~1315 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to the N–H bending of the amine groups I, II and III respectively [50,51]. Bands at ~1060 and ~1027 cm$^{-1}$ ~1645 ~1060 ~1027 cm$^{-1}$ represent the C–O vibrations [42] of the CS and peaks at ~893 and ~1152 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the saccharide structure of CS [50]. The spectrum obtained for CS + BG coating on AISI 316L substrate consists of characteristic bands of BG and CS, indicating incorporation of both components into the coating. The hydrogen bonding between CS and BG is observed as the reduction of band at 1645 cm$^{-1}$ to band at 1560 cm$^{-1}$ (highlighted on Fig. 2a).

The spectrum obtained for the pre-treated WE43 samples (Fig. 2b) reveals strong bands from carbonate and phosphate groups at ~1405 and ~1007 cm$^{-1}$, respectively [39]. Interestingly, the intensities of those peaks decrease after the EPD coating process. The spectra obtained on the coated surfaces show bands related to the coating components and to the surface pre-treatment (Fig. 2b). However, due to the limited thickness of the deposited coatings caused by the used deposition parameters, the intensity of peaks is lower than that obtained for the reference samples.

### 3.3.7. Corrosion studies

Fig. 3a presents typical Nyquist plots of the composite coated samples (CS + BG, CS + MIX) compared to the bare (without pre-treatment) and DMEM pre-treated WE43 substrates obtained after 1 h immersion in 0.1 NaCl. For the bare WE43 substrate, the plot with two capacitive loops (at high and medium frequencies) and an inductive loop (at low frequencies) [52] indicates the impact of formed corrosion products layer on the surface during 1 h immersion in 0.1 NaCl with the polarization resistance ($R_p$) of ~2 kΩcm$^2$. As expected from the pretreatment procedure, a significant higher $R_p$ (~7 kΩcm$^2$) for the pre-treated WE43 substrate proves a thicker barrier on the surface which enhances the corrosion resistance. The values of $R_p$ for the composite coated samples are between the bare and pre-treated WE43 substrates (~5 kΩcm$^2$), where the CS + MIX sample exhibits a lower $R_p$ than the CS + BG. A more pronounced second capacitive loop (medium frequencies) in Nyquist plots, which represents the resistance and the capacity of the surface layer, indicates the effect of CS + BG and CS + MIX composite coatings [52].

Potentiodynamic polarization curves for the tested samples (Fig. 3b) indicate a reduction of the anodic current densities (shown as plateau up...
to a breakdown potential) for the pre-treated WE43 substrate and composite coated (CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples compared to the bare WE43 substrate. However, the presence of the CS + BG or CS + MIX coating on the pre-treated WE43 substrate slightly increases the anodic current density compared to the pre-treated substrates. The I corr for the bare WE43 is 10.3 μA/cm², while for pre-treated WE43, CS + BG and CS + MIX the I corr is 3.9 μA/cm², 6.6 μA/cm² and 7.2 μA/cm², respectively. Both, the Nyquist plots and potentiodynamic polarization curves, demonstrate the positive impact of the applied surface modification on the improvement of corrosion resistance of the bare WE43 substrate. Between CS + BG and CS + MIX coatings only a slight difference can be observed.

### 3.2. Cell study

#### 3.2.1. Cell metabolic activity

Fig. 4 shows differences in the reduction of alamarBlue® by ST-2 cells on the reference (pre-treated, pure CS-coated) and the composite (CS + BG, CS + MIX) coated samples. The higher the value of the reduction, which is associated with higher cell metabolic activity, the higher the ST-2 cell proliferation. The trend for 2 d shows that cell proliferation was higher for the pre-treated sample followed by the composite (CS + MIX, CS + BG) coated and the CS coated samples, however, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was found only between the pre-treated and pure CS samples. For 7 d the trend becomes: CS + MIX > pre-treated > CS + BG > CS; however, significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between pure CS/pre-treated, CS + MIX/pure CS and CS + MIX/CS + BG samples.
3.2.2. Cell functionalization

Fig. 5a shows the total protein concentration for the references (pre-treated, pure CS coated) and composite coated (CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples, which can be correlated to the viable cells. The total protein concentration differs among the samples. After 7 d, the trend can be summarized as CS + MIX > pre-treated > CS, CS + BG, while significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between CS + MIX and pure CS and between CS + MIX and CS + BG samples. For 10 d, the trend becomes: pre-treated > CS + BG, CS + MIX > CS, however no significant difference was found between samples. The relative ALP activity also differs between tested samples and decreases with time for all of them, including the positive control (+) (Fig. 5b). For 7 d, the relative ALP activity trend can be summarized as CS ≫ CS + MIX > CS + BG > pre-treated. The significant difference was found between pure CS and the other samples. After 10 d this trend becomes: CS + BG > CS > CS + MIX, pre-treated, however no significant difference was found between samples.

3.2.3. pH changes and weight loss

Fig. 6a shows the pH of the osteogenic media at 7 d and 10 d. The presence of the samples in the osteogenic medium with ST-2 cells significantly (p < 0.001) increases the pH from 7.65 [(+) and (−) controls] to ~8.00–8.20. The values of pH for coated (pure CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples are slightly higher than for the pre-treated, whereas the pH for pure CS-coated sample is the highest among samples (p < 0.05).

The W_loss differs between sample types and increases in time for all of them (Fig. 6b). At 7 d, the W_loss for the pre-treated sample is ~8.5 ± 0.4 μg/mm², while for pure CS, CS + BG and CS + MIX the W_loss is ~12.4 ± 2.2 μg/mm², ~10 ± 1.9 μg/mm² and ~11 ± 0.7 μg/mm², respectively. At 10 d, the W_loss for the pre-treated sample is ~8.9 ± 1.2 μg/mm², while for pure CS, CS + BG and CS + MIX it is ~20.4 ± 4.2 μg/mm², ~13 ± 1.2 μg/mm² and ~13.6 ± 1.5 μg/mm², respectively. A general trend for the W_loss can be summarized as: CS ≫ CS + MIX > CS + BG > pre-treated. However, significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between pure CS and pre-treated and between pure CS and CS + BG samples at 10 d and between pure CS and the other samples (p < 0.001) at 16 d.
3.2.4. Surface observations

Fig. 7a–b present the initial microstructure of WE43 sample, consisting of equiaxed grains (~15 μm) with α-Mg rich regions and randomly dispersed second phase precipitations [49]. Surface morphologies after cell functionality examination and chromic acid cleaning differ among samples (Fig. 7c–f). The specific “grain boundaries” pattern is visible on every type of sample, however its intensity and depth vary between samples. On the pre-treated surface some residues of the initial surface, indicating the pattern of polishing direction, are still visible (Fig. 7c), while previously coated surfaces (pure CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) are rougher with localized corrosion in α-Mg rich regions. Localized corrosion (shown as pits on the surface) increased in time for all type of samples by pits deepening (Fig. 8) pointing to the previously CS-coated surfaces as being the most affected (Fig. 8b, f).

4. Discussion

4.1. Medium and high \( M_w \) composite coatings (CS + BG)

The quality of the functional coating on Mg-based implants plays a crucial role in its final performance. Heise et al. [29] found that for CS with medium \( M_w \) (190–310 kDa) and DDA (75–85%) the best quality of electrophoretic CS + BG composite coating on pre-treated WE43 substrates was achieved at 50 V and 120 s deposition time. This study reveals that for CS with high \( M_w \) (340–360 kDa) and DDA (≥95%), the best quality of CS + BG composite coatings in terms of continuity and homogeneity was obtained by EPD at 50 V and 60 s (Fig. 1c–d). Despite shorter deposition time than in case of medium \( M_w \) CS-based composite coating [29], the achieved CS + BG coating also improved the corrosion resistance of bare WE43 substrate (Fig. 3a–b). An increase of the deposition time caused formation of coating defects such as discontinuities related to entrapped gas bubbles (see Supplementary data Figs. S1–2). Presumably, this effect is related to a denser packing of CS molecules on the surface when high \( M_w \) CS was used in comparison to medium \( M_w \). The use of CS with higher \( M_w \) and DDA corresponds to higher levels of available protonated amine-groups in the EPD suspension. Additionally, a higher DDA gives fewer large acetyl side groups in CS resulting in a more regular packing of the chains in the polymer structure and in a less amorphous structure. Therefore, the \( H_2 \) which accompanies EPD of CS-based coatings on Mg-based substrates (Eqs. (1) and (3)) may be entrapped in form of gas bubbles much faster by the deposited CS coating. This leads to the conclusion that CS characteristics may influence the deposition time of CS + BG coatings fabricated via EPD.

4.2. \( M_w \) CS-based composite coatings

In this study, the CS + BG and CS + MIX composite coatings based on CS with high \( M_w \) (340–360 kDa) with DDA (≥95%) and bioactive particles (BG, MBGN) with different sizes (micro, nano), morphologies (solid, mesoporous), shapes (non-spherical, spherical), chemical compositions (Si\( \text{O}_2\)-CaO-Na\( \text{O}\)-P\( \text{O}_5 \) and Si\( \text{O}_2\)-CaO systems) and fabrication method (melt-derived, sol-gel) were deposited on pre-treated WE43 substrates via EPD. The optimized parameters (50 V, 60 s) resulted in continuous coatings with homogeneously distributed BG particles in both composites (Fig. 1c–f). The partial replacement of micron size BG with nano size MBGN particles additionally caused filled spaces between BG particles or assembled single MBGN particles and their agglomerates, even larger than 2 μm (marked by arrows in Fig. 1g–h) on top of the BG particles. For both coatings no delamination from the pre-treated substrates was observed following a tape test similar to the one carried out in a previous study [29]. Despite the equal organic/inorganic components weight ratio (1:2) in both suspensions, the deposit weight (\( D_w = (W_d - W_{\text{initial}}) / S_{\text{d}} \)) for the CS + MIX coating was slightly higher (Table 1) than for the CS + BG coating. This difference can be related to the BG/MBGN weight ratio (3:1) and/or different particle sizes [22]. However, both factors can influence the particle mobility during EPD and consequently also the contribution of the particles and molecules to the composite. ZP values are slightly higher for the mixture of particles (CS + MIX) than for one type of particles (CS + BG) (Table 1). Pure BG particles have negative ZP while CS molecules are positively charged at the used pH. Change of the particles ZP from negative to positive proves particles have negative ZP while CS molecules are positively charged at the used pH. Change of the particles ZP from negative to positive proves particles have negative ZP while CS molecules are positively charged at the used pH. Change of the particles ZP from negative to positive proves particles have negative ZP while CS molecules are positively charged at the used pH.
the composite coatings (Fig. 3a), whereas a slightly higher current density and $I_{corr}$ were noticed for CS + MIX than CS + BG (Fig. 3b).

Bioactive glass particles, beside the high potential in the bioactivity improvement by introducing HA nucleation sites, induce a surface topography to the pre-treated WE43 substrate [29] and increase its surface roughness (Table 1). This effect is more prominent for CS + MIX than CS + BG coating. Additionally, the superficial silanol-groups (Si-OH) of particles [53] are responsible for a higher wettability of the composite-coated than the pure CS-coated or the pre-treated samples (Table 1). However, the slightly higher WCA for CS + MIX coating (45° ± 6) than for CS + BG coating (39° ± 5) can be related to the coating topography and/or higher adsorption of the CS molecules on MBGN particles. It has been reported that a WCA between 35° and 80° is beneficial for bone cell attachment [54]. However, some studies have reported that WCA of ~70° is favorable for cell proliferation [55], while others consider 55° as the optimum [56]. The cell study performed on samples revealed differences in ST-2 cell response (Fig. 4). For the coated samples, addition of the particles to the CS matrix improved the cell response at 7 d. This effect was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) for CS + MIX coating than for CS + BG coating. The trend for the total protein concentration at 7 d seems to support this finding (Fig. 5a). Additionally, the protein increases over time (Fig. 5a), confirming cell continuous proliferation and simultaneous decrease of the relative ALP activity, may suggest a progress of mineralization on the surface (Fig. 5b). This progress is utmost for the CS + MIX sample, while for pure CS sample it can be affected by substrate corrosion. Mg ions in certain amounts can activate and stimulate ALP activity giving false results due to chelating with Mg ions. This effect increases with Mg ion concentration [57,58], hence it is crucial to monitor the samples’ ALP activity and the $W_{loss}$.

4.3. Corrosion sensitivity and cellular response: pre-treated vs coated samples

DMEM pre-treatment was applied [29,39] to suppress the corrosion of the bare WE43 substrate, in order to enable the fabrication of composite coatings (CS + BG, CS + MIX) via EPD. DMEM pre-treatment performed on pure Mg substrate results in the formation of a temporary barrier (~3.5 μm thickness) on its surface [39]. This temporary
barrier has a significant impact on the substrate’s initial corrosion suppression, fabrication of the composite coatings or cell response. In this study, FTIR measurements on the DMEM pre-treated WE43 substrate show the presence of signals related to phosphate and carbonate groups (Fig. 2b) similar to the ones obtained for pure Mg substrates [39]. For coated samples those signals are reduced or suppressed by those from the coatings components. A proper evaluation of any functional composite coating on Mg-based implants requires both cell response and long-term corrosion evaluation, preferably in in vitro conditions as both factors have a mutual influence.

The cell study performed on the pre-treated and coated (pure CS, CS + BG, CS + MIX) samples revealed differences in ST-2 cell response after 2 d, indicating the pre-treated sample as the most beneficial for cell metabolic activity, while CS + MIX sample gains comparable positive effects after 7 d (no significant difference) (Fig. 4). Other coated samples (pure CS and CS + BG) show significant lower impact on the cells comparable to CS + MIX. The beneficial effect of the pre-treated samples can be linked to the presence of a temporary barrier and the composition of the pre-treatment solution (DMEM), being a cell culture medium used to grow and feed cells. DMEM contains components such as inorganic salts, amino acid, vitamins, which during the pre-treatment procedure were incorporated as a temporary barrier and can explain the positive response of the cells in contact with the pre-treated surface. Furthermore, these samples were not immersed in the acidic CS-based solution or subjected to conditions simulating the EPD process, thus the comparison is not fully justified. Indeed, the mentioned procedures could strongly influence the stability of the temporary barrier created during the pre-treatment. However, it must be mentioned that DMEM pre-treatment alone does not secure surface bioactivity, while the optimization of the coating components does. Both, pure CS (no particles) and CS + BG (micro size particles) samples showed less positive effect on cell proliferation and functionalization than DMEM pre-treatment, while the CS + MIX (mixture of micro and nano particles) exhibited a positive effect. Nyquist plots showed lower R_p values for the composite coated pre-treated samples than for the pre-treated only sample but they were higher than for bare WE43, while potentiodynamic curves confirmed the

Fig. 6. a) pH of osteogenic differentiation medium at 7 d and 10 d (mean ± s.d., n = 3); b) W_loss for references (pre-treated WE43, pure CS coating) and composite (CS + BG, CS + MIX) coated samples incubated with ST-2 cell line and cleaned in chromic acid solution (mean ± s.d., n = 3). Statistically significant differences are highlighted (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.001) between samples at the same time points.
lowest current density and $I_{\text{corr}}$ for the pre-treated sample (Fig. 3a–b). Long-term incubation in in vitro conditions (cell functionalization study) revealed slightly higher pH of the osteogenic medium for the coated samples than for the pre-treated samples, although a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was found only between pure CS and the pre-treated samples (7 d) or between pure CS and the other samples (10 d) (Fig. 6a). High pH may be associated to (i) dissolution of the embedded particles (BG, MBGN) during HA formation [59, 60] and (ii) substrate corrosion. However, the highest pH for the pure CS-coated samples suggests that the EPD process plays a dominant role in the final substrate corrosion sensitivity. Presumably, the stability of the temporary barrier created by the DMEM pretreatment decreases during EPD for all coated samples. However, during the cell culture study particles embedded in the CS matrix (CS + BG and CS + MIX samples) suppress this negative impact by the formation of HA on the surface (see Supplementary data, Figs. S3–4). However, more research is needed to prove this hypothesis. Nevertheless, the pH trend correlates with the trend of the samples $W_{\text{loss}}$ (CS $\gg$ CS + MIX $>$ CS + BG $>$ pre-treated) (Fig. 6b). Additionally, surface morphologies after cleaning vary between samples, indicating the pre-treated one as the least affected by localized corrosion (Fig. 7c–f). The specific “grain boundaries” pattern is more obvious on the previously coated samples. However, the pure CS-coated sample was found to be the most corroded one after 16 d (Fig. 8).

The obtained results suggest that the CS + MIX coating is a promising
functional composite coating for Mg-based implants, because it ensures control of substrate degradation and positive cell response up to 16 d. However, further investigations, potentially in vivo studies, are needed for further characterization of the pre-treated and CS + MIX coated samples.

5. Conclusions

This study presented the electrophoretic deposition of homogeneous composite coatings based on high $M_w$ CS ($M_w$ 340–360 kDa, DDA $\geq$ 95%) and embedded bioactive glass particles: solid micron sized BG (CS + BG coating) and a mixture of micrometric BG with mesoporous nano sized MBGN (CS + MIX coating) on Mg-based substrates. The presence of
the composite coatings on the pre-treated WE43 substrate influenced surface topography, wetting properties, corrosion resistance and cell response, indicating that the CS + MIX coating is more beneficial than CS + BG in terms of cell response (proliferation and functionalization). It was found that different MS (and DDA) of CS used as the matrix for BG particles may influence the deposition time needed for homogeneous electrophoretic composite coatings on pre-treated WE43 substrates. This is important for the decrease of deposition time, thus minimizing the negative impact of acidic CS-based solutions and applied EPD conditions on the Mg-based substrate corrosion sensitivity. However, CS characteristics may also influence coating properties such as thickness or biodegradation, which are crucial for the applications of Mg-based implant. Thus, a more detailed study on the long-term behavior of CS-based composite coatings, both in vitro and in vivo, is needed.

Obtained data suggest that the fabrication conditions of CS-based coatings on pre-treated WE43 substrates via EPD may adversely affect the substrate corrosion sensitivity. However, despite the slightly higher corrosion sensitivity of the WE43 substrates with CS + MIX coating in comparison to pre-treated WE43 substrates, the coated sample gains comparable positive effects on the cell proliferation and functionalization. DMEM pretreatment has a positive impact on corrosion resistance of WE43 substrates and on their cell response, however it does not secure bioactive properties or the ability to load antibacterial agents. This is an advantage of the composite coating developed here and thus further research in such CS + MIX system for Mg alloys are justified.
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