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## Addressed problem



- A Newtonian liquid $(\rho, \mu)$. Applied uniform gravity field $\mathbf{g}$
- The liquid is confined by a solid and motionless cavity $\Sigma$ with attached Cartesian coordinates $\left(O, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$
- A solid arbitrary-shaped particle $\mathcal{P}$ with center of mass $O^{\prime}$, uniform density $\rho_{s}$ and smooth surface $S$ with $\mathbf{n}$ the unit outward normal
- The particle translates at $\mathbf{U}$ (velocity of $O^{\prime}$ ) and rotates at $\mathbf{W}$


## Basic issues

Experienced surface traction $\mathbf{f}$ on $S$ ?
Resulting hydrodynamic force $\mathbf{F}$ and torque $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ (about $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ) on $\mathcal{P}$ ?

## Assumptions and governing equations

- The particle and its rigid-body motion ( $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$
have length and velocity scales $a$ and $V$.
- Assuming that $\operatorname{Re}=\rho V a / \mu \ll 1$ one neglects inertia effects and obtains
a quasi-steady flow $(\mathbf{u}, p+\rho \mathbf{g} . \mathbf{x})$ in the liquid domain $\Omega$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Creeping steady flow } \\
\mu \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u}=\nabla p \text { and } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Sigma, \\
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{W} \wedge \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { on } S \text { with } \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{O}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}
\end{gathered}
$$

Introducing the stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ such that $\sigma_{i j}=-p \delta_{i j}+\mu\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right)$, one looks at

$$
\mathrm{f}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text { on } S,
$$

$$
\mathbf{F}=\int_{S} \mathrm{f} d S, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\int_{S} \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \wedge \mathrm{f} d S
$$

Two basic Problems

- Problem 1: $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$ prescribed. Evaluation of $\mathbf{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ?
- Problem 2: freely-suspended particle $\mathcal{P}$ with volume $\mathcal{V}$. Obtain (U, W) by enforcing

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\rho-\rho_{s}\right) \mathcal{V} \mathbf{g}, \quad \Gamma=\mathbf{0}
$$

## Auxiliary Stokes flows and key surface tractions

- $\left(\mathbf{u}_{t}^{(i)}, p_{t}^{(i)}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{u}_{r}^{(i)}, p_{r}^{(i)}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$. Stokes flows with

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}^{(i)}=\mathbf{u}_{r}^{(i)}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Sigma, \mathbf{u}_{t}^{(i)}=\mathbf{e}_{i} \text { and } \mathbf{u}_{t}^{(i)}=\mathbf{e}_{i} \wedge \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { on } S
$$

- Resulting surface tractions $\mathbf{f}_{t}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{r}^{(i)}$ on $S$

Use for Problem 1

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{F}=-\mu\left\{\mathbf{A}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{B}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{W}\right\}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=-\mu\left\{\mathbf{A}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{B}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{W}\right\} \\
-\mu A_{L}^{i, j}=\int_{S} \mathbf{f}_{L}^{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j} d S, \quad-\mu B_{L}^{i, j}=\int_{S}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{L}^{(i)}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j} d S
\end{array}
$$

Use for Problem 2
The rigid-body migration $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$ is obtained by solving

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left\{\mathbf{A}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{B}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{W}\right\}=\left(\rho_{s}-\rho\right) \mathcal{V} \mathbf{g} \\
\mu\left\{\mathbf{A}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{B}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{W}\right\}=\mathbf{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Well-posed linear system
- Unique solution ( $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}$ )


## Available literature?

- Restricted to a spherical particle!
- Case of a translating sphere located at the cavity center
- Cunningham (1910), Williams (1915)
by obtaining the stream function (exact solution)
- Case of a sphere not located at the cavity center
- Use of bipolar coordinates (well adapted to the fluid domain geometry)
- Jeffery (1915), Stimson \& Jeffery (1926), O’Neill \& Majumdar (1970a, 1970b)
- recently: accurate calculations by Jones (2008)
- Merits
- very accurate solution (if carefully implemented)
- able to deal with small sphere-cavity gaps!
- provides very nice benchmatk tests for other methods to be developed
- Drawbacks
- cumbersome approach (tricky analytical manipulations)
- provides the net force $\mathbf{F}$ and torque $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ but still uneasy to calculate the surface tractions $\mathbf{f}_{t}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{r}^{(i)}$ on $S$
- not possible to cope with one non-spherical particle or with several particles!


## Quite different boundary approach

## Green tensors

- y source point or pole in the entire domain $\mathcal{D}=\Omega \cup \mathcal{P}$
- $\mathbf{x}$ observation point. For $j=1,2,3$ one introduces a Stokes flows $\left(\mathbf{v}^{(j)}, p^{(j)}\right)$,

$$
\mu \nabla^{2} \mathbf{v}^{(j)}=\nabla p^{(j)}-\delta_{3 d}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{e}_{j}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{(j)}=0 \text { in } \mathcal{D}
$$

- Resulting Green tensor $\mathbf{G}$ with Cartesian components

$$
G_{k j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\mathbf{v}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}
$$

## Remark, examples

- A Green tensor: not unique (no prescribed boundary conditions)
- Widely-employed free-space Green tensor $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
8 \pi \mu G_{k j}^{\infty}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{\delta_{k j}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}+\frac{\left[(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right]\left[(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right]}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{3}}
$$

- Specific Green tensor $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ for the given cavity $\Sigma$ :

$$
G_{j k}^{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=0 \quad \text { for } \mathbf{x} \text { on } \Sigma
$$

## Relevant integral representations and boundary-integral equations

- One looks at $\mathbf{f}=f_{k} \mathbf{e}_{k}$ on $S$ for $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{U}+\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ on $S$
- Due to this velocity boundary condition, one gets a single-layer integral representation

$$
\left[\mathbf{u . e} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right](\mathbf{x})=-\int_{S \cup \Sigma} f_{k}(\mathbf{y}) G_{k j}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) d S(\mathbf{y}) \text { for } \mathbf{x} \text { in } \Omega \cup S ; j=1,2,3
$$

(Here $\mathbf{x}$ is the pole)

- Associated Fredholm boundary-integral equation of the first kind

$$
\left[\mathbf{U}+\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=-\int_{S \cup \Sigma} f_{k}(\mathbf{y}) G_{k j}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) d S(\mathbf{y}) \text { for } \mathbf{x} \text { on } S ; j=1,2,3
$$

(solution unique up to $c \mathbf{n}$ with $c$ constant)

- Valid for any Green tensor G!
- Because $G_{j k}^{c}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})=0$ for $\mathbf{y}$ on $\Sigma$, one replaces $S \cup \Sigma$ with $S$ in the above integrals!
- Additional general property: $G_{j k}^{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=G_{k j}^{c}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ under the condition $G_{j k}^{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=0$ on $\Sigma$


## Green tensor $G^{c}$ for the spherical cavity

Obtained (in a different form not suitable for numerics) by Oseen 1927!

- Pole $\mathbf{y}$ and obervation point $\mathbf{x}$.

$$
\mathbf{y}^{\prime}=\frac{R^{2} \mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y}|^{2}}, \mathbf{t}=\frac{\mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y}|}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}-(\mathbf{x . t}) \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{h}=\frac{|\mathbf{y}|}{R}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right), h=|\mathbf{h}|
$$

$$
G_{j k}^{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=G_{j k}^{\infty}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})-\frac{\delta_{j k}}{h}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)}{h^{3}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)}{h}\left[\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{h^{2}}-1\right]
$$

$$
-\left[\frac{2|\mathbf{y}| \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{h^{3}}\right]\left(\text { t. } \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\text { t.e } \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)+|\mathbf{y}|\left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)+\left(\text { t.e } \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)}{h^{3}}\right]
$$

$$
-\frac{\left[|\mathbf{x}|^{2}-R^{2}\right]\left[|\mathbf{y}|^{2}-R^{2}\right]}{2}\left\{\frac{\delta_{j k}}{R^{3} h^{3}}-\frac{3}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)}{h^{5}}\right]\right.
$$

$$
-2 \frac{\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}}{h^{3}}-\frac{3\left(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)(\mathbf{h . t})}{h^{5}}\right]+\frac{3 E}{R^{4} h}\left[\delta_{j k}-\left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{3 \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}}{R}\left[-\frac{E}{R^{3} h}\left\{\frac{|\mathbf{y}| \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}}{R h^{2}}+\frac{2 \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}}{|\mathbf{a}|^{2}}\right\}+\frac{\mathbf{E . e}_{j}}{\left.R^{4} h^{2}\left[|\mathbf{x}|_{-}^{+}(\mathbf{x . t})\right)\right]}+\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\left[\frac{\left(2 R^{2}\right)_{-}^{+}|\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{x}|}{R^{4} h^{2}|\mathbf{a}|^{2}}\right]\right]\right\}
$$

$E=\left\{|\mathbf{x}|_{-}^{+} \frac{2 R^{2} \mathbf{x} . \mathbf{t}}{R^{2}+R h_{+}^{-}|\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}|}\right\} /\left\{|\mathbf{x}|_{-}^{+} \mathbf{x} . \mathbf{t}\right\}, \mathbf{E}=_{+}^{-}|\mathbf{y}| \mathbf{x}+\left[|\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{x}|_{-}^{+}\left(1_{+}^{-} 2\right) R^{2}\right] \mathbf{t}_{-}^{+} 2\left[\frac{2 R^{2}|\mathbf{y}| \mathbf{x}+\left[R^{3} h_{+}^{-} R^{2}|\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{x}|\right] \mathbf{t}}{R^{2}+R h_{+}^{-}|\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{x}|}\right]$
with upperscripts or subscripts for $\mathbf{x . t} \geq 0$ or $\mathbf{x . t}<0$, respectively

## Numerical strategy

- Isoparametric triangular curvilinear Boundary Elements on $S$ and, if needed, on the cavity $\Sigma$
- Discretize each boundary-integral equation. This requires to accurately deal with the case of a source $\mathbf{x}$ on a boundary element (a refined treatment is needed with the use of local polar coordinates)
- Solve each resulting linear systems $A X=Y$ by Gaussian elimination
- The use of $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ permits one to solely mesh the particle's surface (worth for a large cavity)


## Benchmarks are needed!

- As seen before, $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ is available for a spherical cavity
- Comparisons with both analytical and numerical results for a spherical particle (previously-mentioned literature)
- Sphere located or not located at the cavity center


## Case of a spherical particle

## Adopted notations



- A spherical cavity with center $O$ and radius $R$
- A spherical particle with radius $a$ and center $O^{\prime}$

$$
\mathrm{OO}^{\prime}=d \mathbf{e}_{3} \quad \text { and } 0 \leq d<R-a
$$

- $R-(d+a)$ is the sphere-cavity gap
- Normalized sphere-cavity gap $\eta=(R-d-a) / a$


## Numerical comparisons for a sphere located at the cavity center

- Here $O=O^{\prime}$ and $d=0$. Sphere with radius $a<R$ translating at the velocity $\mathbf{e}_{i}$.

$$
\mathbf{F}=-6 \pi \mu a c(a / R) \mathbf{e}_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\mathbf{0}
$$

- Analytical formula for the occurring dimensionless resistance coefficient $c$

$$
c(\beta)=\frac{1-\beta^{5}}{1-\frac{9 \beta}{4}+\frac{5 \beta^{3}}{2}-\frac{9 \beta^{5}}{4}+\beta^{6}}, \quad \beta=a / R<1 .
$$

- A $N$ - node mesh on the sphere and, if needed, 1058 nodal points on the cavity $\Sigma$

Two computed values of the above coefficient $c$

- $c_{s}$ : using the Green $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ and putting Stokeslets on both $S$ and $\Sigma$
- $c_{c}$ : using the Green tensor $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ and Stokeslets on $S$
- Notation: $\Delta c_{l}=\left|c_{l} / c-1\right|$


## A translating sphere located at the cavity center

| $N$ | $R / a$ | $c_{s}$ | $\Delta c_{s}$ | $c_{c}$ | $\Delta c_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 1.1 | 3258.137 | 1.00613 | 2097.155 | 0.29128 |
| 242 | 1.1 | 2124.983 | 0.30842 | 1949.547 | 0.01030 |
| 1058 | 1.1 | 1777.331 | 0.09436 | 1676.260 | 0.00353 |
| exact | 1.1 | 1624.089 | 0 | 1624.089 | 0 |
| 74 | 2. | 7.223525 | 0.00968 | 7.218993 | 0.01030 |
| 242 | 2. | 7.289179 | 0.00068 | 7.284937 | 0.00126 |
| 1058 | 2. | 7.297493 | 0.00046 | 7.293273 | 0.00012 |
| exact | 2. | 7.294118 | 0 | 7.294118 | 0 |
| 74 | 5. | 1.749799 | 0.00344 | 1.749640 | 0.00353 |
| 242 | 5 | 1.755232 | 0.00035 | 1.755073 | 0.00044 |
| 1058 | 5. | 1.755937 | 0.00005 | 1.755777 | 0.00004 |
| exact | 5. | 1.755845 | 0 | 1.755845 | 0 |

Computed quantities $c_{s}, \Delta c_{s}, c_{c}$ and $\Delta c_{c}$ versus the number $N$ of collocation points on $S$

## Arbitrarily-located sphere

- Here $\mathbf{O O}^{\prime}=d \mathbf{e}_{3}$ with $0 \leq d<R-a$.

For symmetry reasons one confines the attention to four cases.

- (i) A sphere translating at the velocity $\mathbf{e}_{1}: \mathbf{F}=-6 \pi \mu a c_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=8 \pi \mu a^{2} s \mathbf{e}_{2}$
- (ii) A sphere translating at the velocity $\mathbf{e}_{3}: \mathbf{F}=-6 \pi \mu a c_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\mathbf{0}$
- (iii) A sphere rotating at the velocity $\mathbf{e}_{1}: \mathbf{F}=-8 \pi \mu a^{2} s \mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=-8 \pi \mu a^{3} t_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}$
- (iv) A sphere rotating at the velocity $\mathbf{e}_{3}: \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=-8 \pi \mu a^{3} t_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}$


## Comparisons for the computed coefficients $c_{1}, c_{3}, t_{1}, t_{3}$ and $s$

- Accurate computations obtained elsewhere by using the bipolar coordinates (Jones 2008, here labelled Jones in each reported table)
- $R=4 a$ and two values of the normalized gap $\eta=(R-d-a) / a$ are selected:

$$
\eta=0.5 \text { and } \eta=0.1 \text { (small sphere-cavity gap). }
$$

- 4098 nodal points are put on the cavity $\Sigma$ when using the Green tensor $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$

Comparisons for a sphere

## not located at the cavity center with $\eta=(R-d-a) / a=0.5$

| $N$ | Method | $c_{1}$ | $c_{3}$ | $t_{1}$ | $t_{3}$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 2.6330 | 4.6730 | 1.1640 | 1.0789 | 0.11870 |
| 74 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 2.6327 | 4.6714 | 1.1639 | 1.0789 | 0.11861 |
| 242 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 2.6473 | 4.7107 | 1.1639 | 1.0755 | 0.11927 |
| 242 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 2.6471 | 4.7090 | 1.1639 | 1.0755 | 0.11920 |
| 1058 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 2.6488 | 4.7144 | 1.1639 | 1.0755 | 0.11938 |
| 1058 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 2.6486 | 4.7127 | 1.1639 | 1.0755 | 0.11932 |
| Jones | Bipolar | 2.6487 | 4.7131 | 1.1639 | 1.0755 | 0.11933 |

Comparisons for a sphere not located at the cavity center with $\eta=(R-d-a) / a=0.1$

| $N$ | Method | $c_{1}$ | $c_{3}$ | $t_{1}$ | $t_{3}$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 3.9016 | 15.552 | 1.6065 | 1.1960 | 0.20206 |
| 74 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 3.9009 | 15.413 | 1.6052 | 1.1960 | 0.20138 |
| 242 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 3.9273 | 18.886 | 1.6145 | 1.1939 | 0.19108 |
| 242 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 3.9237 | 18.636 | 1.6134 | 1.1938 | 0.19001 |
| 1058 | $\mathbf{G}^{\infty}$ | 3.9159 | 18.832 | 1.6171 | 1.1945 | 0.18494 |
| 1058 | $\mathbf{G}^{c}$ | 3.9121 | 18.711 | 1.6160 | 1.1945 | 0.18353 |
| Jones | Bipolar | 3.9121 | 18.674 | 1.6163 | 1.1945 | 0.18344 |

## Numerical results for a non-spherical particle

- Ellipsoid with semi-axis $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and surface admitting the equation

$$
\left(x_{1} / a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2} / a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\left[x_{3}-d\right] / a_{3}\right)^{2}=1
$$

- Ellipsoid-cavity normalized separation parameter $\lambda$ with

$$
0<\lambda=d / a_{3}<\left(R-a_{3}\right) / a_{3}
$$

- 8 friction coefficients $c_{i}, t_{i}, s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{T}^{(i)}=6 \pi \mu a_{3} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{B}_{R}^{(i)}=8 \pi \mu a_{3}^{3} t_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \\
& \mathbf{B}_{T}^{(1)}=-8 \pi \mu a_{3}^{2} s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{B}_{T}^{(2)}=8 \pi \mu a_{3}^{2} s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{T}^{(3)}=\mathbf{0}, \\
& \mathbf{A}_{R}^{(1)}=8 \pi \mu a_{3}^{2} s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{R}^{(2)}=-8 \pi \mu a_{3}^{2} s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{R}^{(3)}=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparisons for two selected ellipsoids

- A sphere with radius $a_{3}$ (clear symbols)
- The ellipsoid $a_{1}=5 a_{3} / 3, a_{2}=0.6 a_{3}$
having the same volume as the sphere (filled symbols)


## Friction coefficients

Normalized coefficients $c_{i}$ for the sphere (clear symbols) and the ellipsoid (filled symbols).


(a) Comficients $c_{1}$ (circles) and $c_{2}(s q u a r e s)$ (b) Comficients ca (triangles
(a) Coefficients $t_{1}$ (circles), $t_{2}$ (squares) and $t_{3}$ (triangles). (b) Coefficients $s_{1}$ (circles) and $s_{2}$ (squares)

## Settling normalized translational and angular velocities

Setting $U_{s}^{\prime}=\left(\rho_{s}-\rho\right) a^{2} g / \mu$ one gets
(i) If $\mathbf{g}=g \mathbf{e}_{1}: \mathbf{U}=U_{s}^{\prime} u_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{W}=a U_{s}^{\prime} w_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}$
(ii) If $\mathbf{g}=g \mathbf{e}_{2}: \mathbf{U}=U_{s}^{\prime} u_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{W}=-a U_{s}^{\prime} w_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}$
(iii) If $\mathbf{g}=g \mathbf{e}_{3}: \mathbf{U}=U_{s}^{\prime} u_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$



Normalized velocities for the sphere (clear symbols) and the ellipsoid (filled symbols).
(a) Translational velocities $u_{1}$ (circles), $u_{2}$ (squares) and $u_{3}$ (triangles).
(b) Angular velocities $w_{1}$ (circles) and $w_{2}$ (squares)

## Concluding remarks

- A new approach based on a boundary-integral formulation
- Valid for arbitrarily-shaped particles!
- Easy implementation and nicely retrieves for a spherical particle results obtained elsewhere using a quite different (bipolar coordinates) approach
- Two tested approaches resorting to the free-space Green tensor and the Green tensor complying with the no-slip condition on the motionless spherical cavity
- The second one makes it possible to solely mesh the particle surface and offers more accurate results
- Numerical results reveal that a particle behaviour is slightly sensitive to its shape
- In future: cope with the challenging case of a collection of particles!

